

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usub.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/247,525	02/10/1999	MASAKI HAYASHI	102731	7732
25944 7.	590 03/26/2004		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC			TRAN, NHAN T	
P.O. BOX 1997 ALEXANDRIA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		2615	17
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/247,525	HAYASHI, MASAKI	1			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·			
	Nhan T. Tran	2615				
 The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply 	appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	Iress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of tood will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this con ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	December 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T	his action is non-final.					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	frawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	- · · ·					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication fr	ents have been received. ents have been received in riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	a Application No en received in this National S	Stage			
Attachment(s)	ì					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)			

Art Unit: 2615

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 12/29/2003 with respect to claims 1, 3, 5 & 7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takahashi et al (US 6,654,498).

Regarding claim 1, Takahashi discloses an electronic camera having continuous shooting function (see Figs. 1 & 9 for moving image mode at step S21) comprising:

an image capturing unit (102) that captures the subject image (Fig. 1);

Art Unit: 2615

a setting unit (113) that sets operation parameters related to image processing performed during image capturing (Figs. 1, 7-9; col. 6, lines 40-59 for different operation parameters manually set by the user or automatically set by the camera);

a resolution conversion unit (151) that performs resolution conversion on image data of the image captured by the image capturing unit in correspondence to a resolution set at the setting unit (Fig. 2; col. 3, lines 38-41);

an image compression unit (154-157) that performs image compression on image data converted by the resolution conversion unit in correspondence to a compression factor set at the setting unit (Fig. 2; col. 3, line 47 - col. 4, line 25);

continuous shooting unit (microcomputer 114) that performs continuous shooting of a subject by continuous driving the image capturing unit, the resolution conversion unit and the image compression unit (Figs. 1 & 2; col. 3, line 13 and note that control circuit 106 is also controlled by microcomputer 114);

the resolution conversion unit is capable of performing resolution conversion at least at a high resolution and at a low resolution that is lower than the high resolution (Figs. 7- 9 for the number of pixels to be thinned out corresponding to each resolution set at the setting unit for setting A, B, C or manual mode);

the image compression unit is capable of performing image compression at least at a low compression factor and at a high compression factor that is higher than the low compression factor (Figs. 7-9 for the compression rate set at setting A, B, C or manual mode);

the compression unit is capable of performing image compression at the low compression factor when the resolution is set to low resolution (see Figs. 7 & 9 and col. 6, lines 53-59 for

Art Unit: 2615

manual mode wherein the compression rate can be set to any rate and the number of pixels per

frame (resolution) can be set to any rate as desired by the user in that encompasses the above

limitation);

when the continuous shooting unit executes continuous shooting, the image compression

unit performs compression at high compression factor regardless of the compression factor set at

the setting unit if the resolution is set to low resolution (see Figs. 8 & 9 at step S20 and col. 11,

lines 39-46 for the full auto mode wherein the moving image mode is always set to setting B at

step S22 as default setting that comprises high compression factor and low resolution regardless

any other settings A, C or manual).

It should be noted that "if" is met by the setting B itself.

Regarding claim 2, as shown in Fig. 9, the full auto mode uses the setting B without

having to change the settings for the resolution and compression factor that have been set by the

setting unit for sport mode that also has the same setting B.

Regarding claim 3, see the analysis in claim 1, wherein the continuous shooting unit

executes continuous shooting, the resolution conversion unit performs resolution at the low

resolution regardless of the resolution set at the setting unit if the compression factor is set to

high compression factor.

Regarding claim 4, see the analysis in claim 2.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2615

Page 5

Regarding claim 5, see the analysis in claim 1. Furthermore, the frame rate can be set to different rates (i.e., 15 or 30 frames per second) as shown in Figs. 10A and 10B in view of Figs. 7 & 8.

Regarding claim 6, see the analysis in claim 2.

Regarding claims 7 & 8, see the analysis in claims 1 & 2, respectively.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhan T. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 605-4246. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew B Christensen can be reached on (703) 308-9644. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NT.

ANDREW CHRISTENSEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600